

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/577,659 | 05/01/2006 | Emmanouil Domazakis | CFAV-7 | 8474 | |
| 52450 KRIEG DEV | 7590 07/20/2010 ULT LLP | | EXAMINER | | |
| ONE INDIAN | | | STULII, VERA | | |
| SUITE 2800 INDIANAPOI | JS, IN 46204-2079 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | 313, 11. 10201 2075 | | 1781 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/20/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/577,659 | 59 DOMAZAKIS, EMMANOUIL | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| VERA STULII | 1781 | | |

| | VERA STULII | 1781 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | |
| N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. Ir no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW. | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(|). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | and the time period sectoral in 57 | SI 1(41.57(u). | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | will <u>not</u> be entered be E below); | cause | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | | lucing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co | mnliant Amendment (| PTOL-324) | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | Inpliant Americanient (| 102-324). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>3</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attached. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| /Keith D. Hendricks/ | | | | | | |

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1781

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3:

NOTE: The newly proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and search,

because claim 3 presents a new limitation not previously considered:

"until the complete incorporation of the injected constituents of step (a) is achieved; ".

Continuation of 11:

Applicants comments filed 07/01/2010 have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The rejection under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) is maintained for the reasons of record (see Final Office Action mailed 03/30/2010 (pages 3-5).